Overview Survey Questions:

I. Concerning our water facilities: **(254 responses) (# / %)**

A. I think the current number of slips (260) is about right for our Glorietta Bay site and feel that we should ensure that all slips be upgraded to meet the current length and beam size requirements of yachts/boats. **(27 / 10.6%)**

B. I think adding +/- 50 slips is about right and then making sure all slips be upgraded to meet the current length and beam size requirements of yachts/boats – total of 310 +/- slips. **(69 / 27.2%)**

C. I think we should pursue and add as many slips as is feasible, sizing slips in whatever way most logically meets the projected needs of our members while taking into account both anticipated yacht/boat size requirements and Port District parking requirements – could be as many as 150 or as few as 50 additional slips – from 310 up to 410 slips. **(158 / 62.2%)**

D. Not important to me – whatever the Board of Directors decides is OK with me.

II. Concerning the shoreside improvements (clubhouse, sailing facility, parking, etc.) at CYC, my opinion is that: **(268 responses) (# / %)**

A. The current club shore facilities are adequate for our needs and should be rebuilt to a similar scale, upgraded and modernized to meet Port District requirements. **(73 / 27.2%)**

B. The club shore facilities should be expanded to meet the current club membership needs including a separate sailing center. **(82 / 30.65%)**

C. I believe our club shore facilities should be built out to the maximum size the Port and City will allow. ***(101 / 37.7%)***

D. Not important to me – whatever the Board of Directors decides is OK with me. **(12 / 4.5%)**

III. Concerning the architectural "look and feel" of our shoreside facilities: (**272 responses) (# / %)**

A. I like the look and feel of our shore facilities the way they are right now – new shore facilities should attempt to capture what we have today. **(163 / 59.9%)**

B. I want more formal and elegant shore facilities. **(90 / 33.1%)**

C. Not important to me – whatever the Board of Directors decides is OK with me. **(19 / 7.0%)**

THIS COMPLETES THE OVER VIEW PORTION OF THE SURVEY – WE THINK EVERY MEMBER SHOULD HAVE THE TIME TO AT LEAST PROVIDE ANSWERS TO THE ABOVE THREE QUESTIONS IN ORDER TO HELP THE 2011 COMMITTEE AND THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS PLOT THE FUTURE OF CYC'S FUTURE DEVELOPMENT.

Additional Survey Questions: CYC Survey August 2005

IV Clubhouse:

1. With respect to the main clubhouse, I prefer a new: **(264 responses) (# / %)**

   A. Single story building **(107 / 40.5%)**
   B. Two story building **(157 / 59.5%)**

2. With respect to the main clubhouse, I prefer to have the new facility sited: (**249 responses) (# / %)**

   A. Where it is currently sited **(144 / 57.8%)**
   B. On the water toward the golf course **(105 / 42.2%)**

3. Siting the clubhouse where it is today will result in operating out of trailers/tents for a year or more while the new clubhouse is under construction. Considering this, would you prefer to have the new facility sited where it is today? **(250 responses) (# / %)**
   A. Yes **(138 / 55.2%)** B. No **(112 / 44.8%)**

4. With respect to the main clubhouse, is there a yacht club that that you are familiar with that captures the look and feel of what we consider in a design of our new facility? Which one (s) & what design qualities are you attracted to? (120 responses) (#/%)

SDYC (47/39.2%) CYC (19/15.8%) Hotel del/Boat House (17/14.2%) CCYC (16/13.3%) SWYC (11/9.2%)

Seattle YC (8/6.7%) Newport Harbor YC (7/5.9%) Balboa YC (5/4.2%)

V Membership: **(254 responses) (# / %)**

CYC membership is 620 members and is increased by 10 members per year by current policy. Which of the following capacities do you think our land and water leaseholds can comfortably support: **(254 responses) (# / %)**

A. 650 **(58 / 22.8%)**
B. 675 **(17 / 6.7%)**
C. 700 **(96 / 37.8%)**
D. 725 **(6 / 2.4%)**
E. 750 **(29 / 11.4%)**
F. Cap at current 620 **(48 / 18.9%)**

VI Wet Slips **(272 responses) (# / %)**

CYC currently has ~ 260 slips. Which of the following statements best expresses your opinion:

A. Plan to pursue additional water area to add ~ 50 to 60 slips **(83 / 30.5%)**
B. Plan to pursue additional water area to add ~ 100 slips **(35 / 12.9%)**
C. Plan to pursue additional water area to add as many slips as possible **(127 / 46.7%)**
D. Do not plan to pursue additional water area to add slips **(27 / 9.9%)**

VII Dry Slips **(241 responses) (# / %)**

CYC currently has approximately 82 dry slips a few of which use existing (and required) parking spaces. Dry slips thus reduce the availability of wet slips due to parking space requirement criteria. Concerning dry slips please indicate your opinions by ***circling all that apply***:

A. The current number of dry slips is about right **(62 / 25.7%)**
B. We need more dry slips **(32 / 13.3%)**
C. We should try and design dry slips in a way that reduces their "footprint" thus freeing parking spaces even if this means that dry slip users will have to bear additional expenses **(147 / 61.0%)**

VIII Pump Out Facility **(274 responses) (# / %)**

Availability of a pump out facility for the CYC has been discussed in the past. In regard to including a pump out facility in our upgrade plans:

A. Plans should include a shore-based pump out facility that is paid for from wet slip fees. **(53 / 19.3%)**
B. Plans should not include a shore-based pump out facility – individual boat owner responsibility. **(57 / 20.8%)**
C. A pump out barge that is paid for from a combination of wet slip and user fees should be pursued. **(24 / 8.8%)**
D. CYC should contract with a pump out barge contractor based on wet slip user requests – users pay additional wet slip fees for services at a negotiated preferred rate. **(87 / 31.8%)**
E. Not important to me – whatever the Board of Directors decides is OK with me. **(53 / 19.3%)**

IX Social/Dining Facilities **(256 responses) (# / %)**

In sizing our dining and social function facilities we will be using modern flex space designs where our total floor space can be divided into several different sizes that can serve more than one user at any one time. When the floor space is opened to serve the maximum size party, CYC should be able to accommodate:

A. The same size party as can currently be served (~ 120 in the dinning room and another 20 in the bar) **(44 / 17.2%)**
B. A party of 175 members and guests **(89 / 34.8%)**
C. A party of 200 members and guests **(119 / 46.5%)**
D. A party of ____ members and guests **(4 / 1.6%)**

X Clubhouse Kitchen Facility **(267 responses) (# / %)**

Our current kitchen will be upgraded and modernized. The design will encompass serving as a minimum the maximum number of guests we anticipate in the size question above. Concerning our kitchen facility:

A. It should primarily be sized to provide the ***minimum*** level of service to allow a catering company to serve up to the maximum number of guests and provide for a separate snack bar/appetizer function **(63 / 23.6%)**
B. The kitchen should be designed to provide ***full restaurant style*** service and include the capability of providing separate snack bar service **(162 / 60.7%)**
C. What we have now is fine with me. **(42 / 15.7%)**

XI Restrooms and showers **(268 responses) (# / %)**

Currently CYC shoreside head facilities combine shower facilities with normal restroom facilities. Concerning shower/restroom facilities:

A. The shower facility should be separate from the restroom facility. **(99 / 36.9%)**
B. Our current arrangement is fine. **(169 / 63.1%)**